

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL HUFTILE,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-05-0171 GEB KJM P

vs.

SACRAMENTO COUNTY BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

This matter came on for status conference on April 16, 2008. Colleen Culhane appeared on behalf of defendants; Huey P. Cotton appeared for plaintiff. At the conclusion of the conference, THE COURT ORDERED as follows:

1. Plaintiff shall file a second amended complaint by June 1, 2008;
2. The Clerk of the Court shall send counsel for defendants the court's form for consenting to magistrate judge jurisdiction;
3. Counsel for defendants shall complete and return the consent form to the court within ten days;
4. Defendants shall file their responsive pleading within two weeks of this court's issuance of an order screening the second amended complaint;

////

1 5. Disclosures required under Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
2 shall be made by June 30, 2008;

3 6. Discovery shall be completed by August 30, 2008;

4 7. Dispositive motions shall be filed by September 30, 2008;

5 8. Plaintiff's pretrial statement shall be filed by January 12, 2009;

6 9. Defendants' pretrial statement be filed by January 26, 2009;

7 10. Trial is set for April 14, 2009 at 9:00 a.m. before the Honorable Garland E.
8 Burrell, Jr. If defendants consent to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction, then a new trial date will be
9 scheduled.

10 11. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send plaintiff's counsel a copy of the
11 court's General Order #230.

12 12. Counsel for plaintiff is informed that counsel may be entitled to
13 reimbursement for the costs of transcripts by the Court Reporters Board of California. Plaintiff
14 may wish to visit the web site at the domain www.courtreportersboard.ca.gov for more
15 information on the Board's Transcript Reimbursement Fund.

16 DATED: April 22, 2008.

17 
18 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
19
20
21